

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS AND APPLICANTS' INTERVIEW SUMMARY


The status of the claims are as presented above. Based on the Applicants' interview of February 2, 2006, Applicants understand that the PTO has not relied on Applicants' remarks and amendments submitted with Applicants' previous RCE of January 30, 2006, but that the PTO will instead examine the claims based upon the amended claims being submitted hereinabove in the present Amendment. Applicants thank Examiners Morris and Boyd for their courtesy in the February 2 interview, and Applicants hereby summarize this interview as follows.

The attendees of the interview are as stated in the Examiners' summary entered on the date of the interview. The substance of the interview turned quickly to the exhibit brought by the Applicants, which the Examiners indicated gave them a different understanding of the invention. It was agreed that the product of the exhibit was different from the prior art of record, and the focus of the discussion was accordingly directed to amending the claims to clearly set forth some of the distinguishing elements that were observed in the exhibited sample. Accordingly, possible amendments to claim 1 were discussed, but the art of record was not discussed in any real detail.

Pending Applicants' submission of amended claims, the Examiners stated that they would reconsider the rejection based on further searching relative to the amended claims. Thus, with Applicants' submission of these amended claims in the present Supplemental Amendment, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all claims in the present case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 26, 2006


Brian C. McCormack, Reg. No. 36,601
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2300
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: (214) 978-3007
Fax: (214) 978-3099